Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/820,732	TANAKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phillip A. Johnston	2881	

All Participants:

(1) *Phillip A. Johnston*.

(2) *Murat Ozgu*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *17 December 2007*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Final

Claims discussed:
1

Prior art documents discussed:
Sogard

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Approval was given to add to claim 1 by examiner's amendment the limitation; wherein a turning force from the driving source is converted into a vertical force to move the upper lid.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)